

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1800
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/823,567	04/14/2004	Toshiyuki Okamoto	UDK-0020	4833	
23353 7.	590 05/03/2005	•	EXAMINER		
RADER FISH	IMAN & GRAUER PLL	DONG, DALEI			
	REET N.W., SUITE 501	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2879		
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	-				
Office Action Summary		10/823,567		OKAMOTO ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Dalei Dong)	2879					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the plant of the second section apport to the second	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any.	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever ly within the statu will apply and will e, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered time the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2004.								
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification to the specification is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification.	cepted or b)[drawing(s) be tion is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have beer ts have beer prity docume uu (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)				

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-2 are, drawn to a foil sealed lamp, classified in class 313, subclass 634.

II. Claim 3 is, drawn to a method of manufacturing a foil sealed lamp, classified in class 445, subclass 26.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as product made and process of making. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, instead of pyrolyzing the rubidium nitrate and cesium nitrate this step could be accomplished by applying a chemical reaction with a catalyst. Invention of Group II is classified in a different class and subclass, therefore provides extra burden upon the Examiner and thus restriction is deemed proper. The criteria for establishment of restriction is if it can be shown that the product made can be manufactured by an entirely different process as claimed by applicant. Because the method of making and the apparatus made of a foiled sealed lamp are distinct invention as acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examiner purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2879

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventor is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call was made to Michael E. Fogarty on December 21, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalei Dong whose telephone number is (571)272-2370. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-A.M. to 5 P.M.

Art Unit: 2879

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on (571)272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.D.

April 26, 2005

Joseph Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879